

# CONDITIONS FOR THE APPLICABILITY OF THE REGENERATIVE METHOD

# AD-A248 598

by

Peter W. Glynn and Donald L. Iglehart

TECHNICAL REPORT No. 71

SEPTEMBER 1991



Prepared under the Auspices of U.S. Army Research Contract DAAL-03-91-G-0101

Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States government.

DEPARTMENT OF OPERATIONS RESEARCH STANFORD UNIVERSITY STANFORD, CALIFORNIA

92-09278

92 4 10 013

# CONDITIONS FOR THE APPLICABILITY OF THE REGENERATIVE METHOD

by	Accesion For	•
Peter W. Glynn	NTIS CRA&: DTIC TAB Unannounced Justification	- :
and	ByDistribution /	
Donald L. Iglehart Stanford University	Avelability Co.	
	Dist Avail solet (1) Sol Gian	
	A-1	

### Abstract.

The regenerative method for estimating steady-state parameters is one of the basic methods in the simulation literature. This method depends on central limit theorems for regenerative processes and weakly consistent estimates for the variance constants arising in the central limit theorem. A weak sufficient (and probably necessary) condition for both the central limit theorems and consistent estimates is given. Several references have claimed (without proof) strong consistency of the variance estimates under our condition. This claim seems to us unjustified and we hope this note helps clarify the situation. Also discussed is the relationship between conditions for the validity of the regenerative method and those for the validity of the standardized time series methods.

# Keywords.

Consistent variance estimators, regenerative method, regenerative processes, simulation, standardized time series, steady-state estimation.

This work was supported by the Army Research Office contract DAAL-03-91-G-0101, National Science Foundation grant NSF DDM-9101580, and the Stanford Institute for Manufacturing Automation.

## 1. Introduction.

The regenerative method (RM) for estimating steady-state parameters via simulation has been widely studied; cf. Bratley, Fox, and Schrage (1987), p. 95, Crane and Lemoine (1977), and Law and Kelton (1991), p. 557. Our goal in this paper is to develop the weakest known condition under which the RM is valid. [This condition is probably necessary, as well as sufficient.] This condition is of interest since a number of errors on this subject have appeared in the literature. Furthermore, since the RM is perhaps the cleanest setting for simulation output analysis, it is important to have a good understanding of the required condition. This paper also discusses the relationship between conditions for the validity of the regenerative methods and those for the validity of the standardized times series methods (STSM).

Let  $X=(X(t):t\geq 0)$  be a (possibly delayed) regenerative process on state space S with regeneration times  $T(-1)=0\leq T(0)< T(1)<\cdots$ . Denote the regenerative cycle lengths by  $\tau_n=T(n)-T(n-1),\ n\geq 0$ . Let  $f:S\to \mathbb{R}$  be a given measurable function and define the sequence of random variables

$$Y_n(f) = \int_{T(n-1)}^{T(n)} f[X(s)]ds, \qquad n \ge 0.$$

Often we abbreviate  $Y_n(f)$  as  $Y_n$ , when no ambiguity arises. Throughout this paper we assume that the regenerative process X is positive recurrent, so that  $E\tau_1 < \infty$ . The condition that follows is the one we use to guarantee the validity of the RM.

#### Condition A.

There exists a finite constant  $\alpha$  such that  $E[Y_1 - \alpha \tau_1] = 0$  and  $0 < E[(Y_1 - \alpha \tau_1)^2] < \infty$ .

Let  $Z_n \equiv Y_n - \alpha \tau_n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ . The regenerative property of X implies that the sequence  $(Z_n : n \ge 1)$  is independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.). Condition A implies that  $E|Z_1| < \infty$ ,  $E|Y_1| < \infty$ , and  $\alpha = EY_1/E\tau_1$ .

Two point estimators for  $\alpha$  arise in the RM. The first,  $\alpha(t)$ , is based on a simulation from time 0 to t. The second,  $\alpha_n$ , is based on a simulation of n regenerative cycles. These estimators are defined as

$$\alpha(t) \equiv t^{-1} \int_0^t f[X(s)] ds, \qquad t > 0,$$

and

$$\alpha_n \equiv \tilde{Y}_n/\bar{\tau}_n,$$

where  $\bar{Y}_n = n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n Y_k$  and  $\bar{\tau}_n = n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \tau_k$ . The fact that  $\alpha(t)$  is strongly consistent for  $\alpha$  when  $E|Y_1| < \infty$  and  $E\tau_1 < \infty$  (converges to  $\alpha$  a.s. as  $t \to \infty$ ) is well known in the regenerative process literature; cf. Chung (1960), p. 87, for a proof in the Markov chain

case. Under the same condition  $\alpha_n$  is strongly consistent for  $\alpha$  by the strong law of large numbers for i.i.d. random variables (r.v.).

The goal of the RM is to produce asymptotically valid confidence intervals for  $\alpha$  as the length of the simulation becomes large  $(t \to \infty \text{ or } n \to \infty)$ . This requires the following central limit theorems (c.l.t.) for both  $\alpha(t)$  and  $\alpha_n$ :

# (1.1) Theorem. If condition A holds, then

$$(1.2) t^{1/2}[\alpha(t) - \alpha] \Rightarrow \sigma_1 N(0, 1) \text{ as } t \to \infty,$$

(1.3) 
$$n^{1/2}[\alpha_n - \alpha] \Rightarrow \sigma_2 N(0, 1) \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

where  $\Rightarrow$  denotes weak convergence, N(0,1) is a normal r.v. mean 0 variance 1,  $\sigma_1^2 = EZ_1^2/E\tau_1$ , and  $\sigma_2^2 = EZ_1^2/(E\tau_1)^2$ .

Again, this theorem is well established; cf. Chung (1960), p. 94. To complete the establishment of asymptotic confidence intervals for  $\alpha$  we need weakly consistent estimators v(t) and  $v_n$  for  $\sigma_1^2$  and  $\sigma_2^2$  respectively. Given such estimators, it follows from Theorem 1.1 and the continuous mapping theorem (Billingsley (1968), p. 30) that the intervals

(1.4) 
$$\left[ \alpha(t) - \frac{z(\delta)v(t)^{1/2}}{t^{1/2}}, \ \alpha(t) + \frac{z(\delta)v(t)^{1/2}}{t^{1/2}} \right]$$

(1.5) 
$$\left[\alpha_n - \frac{z(\delta)v_n^{1/2}}{n^{1/2}}, \ \alpha_n + \frac{z(\delta)v_n^{1/2}}{n^{1/2}}\right]$$

are asymptotic  $100(1-\delta)\%$  confidence intervals for  $\alpha$ , where  $z(\delta)$  is chosen so that  $P[N(0,1) \le z(\delta)] = 1 - \delta/2$ . In Section 2 we show that condition A is sufficient to guarantee the existence of weakly consistent estimators for  $\sigma_1^2$  and  $\sigma_2^2$ . We note that Condition A does not imply that  $EY_1^2(f) < \infty$  and  $E\tau_1^2 < \infty$ , so that standard arguments based on the law of large numbers do not apply.

# 2. Consistency of Regenerative Variance Estimators

The two variance estimators we consider for  $\sigma_1^2$  and  $\sigma_2^2$  respectively are

$$v(t) = t^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} [Y_i - \alpha(t)\tau_i]^2, \qquad t > 0$$

and

$$v_{n} = \frac{n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [Y_{i} - \alpha_{n} \tau_{i}]^{2}}{(\overline{\tau}_{n})^{2}}, \qquad n \ge 1$$

where  $N(t) = \sup\{n \ge -1: T(n) \le t\}$ , the number of completed regenerative cycles in the interval [0,t]. Our main result, contained in the next theorem, is to show weak consistency of v(t) and  $v_n$  under the same condition as that required for the c.l.i.'s (see Theorem 1.1).

# (2.1) Theorem. If condition A holds, then

$$(2.2) v(t) \Rightarrow \sigma_1^2 as t \to \infty$$

and

$$(2.3) v_n \Rightarrow \sigma_2^2 as n \to \infty.$$

**Proof.** We shall only prove (2.2) here, as the proof for (2.3) is similar. First we rewrite v(t) as

$$(2.4) v(t) = t^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} [Y_i - \alpha \tau_i - (\alpha(t) - \alpha)\tau_i]^2$$

$$= t^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} Z_i^2 - 2t^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} Z_i \tau_i (\alpha(t) - \alpha)$$

$$+ t^{-1} (\alpha(t) - \alpha)^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} \tau_i^2.$$

The first term on the right-hand side (r.h.s.) of (2.4) converges to  $\sigma_1^2$  a.s. by the strong laws for i.i.d. partial sums and for renewal processes. Hence we need to show that terms two and three on the r.h.s. of (2.4) converge weakly to zero, and then apply the "Converging Together" theorem; cf. Billingsley (1968), p. 25. For term two we know that  $t^{1/2}(\alpha(t) - \alpha) \Rightarrow \sigma_1 N(0, 1)$ . So it suffices to show that

(2.5) 
$$t^{-\frac{3}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} Z_i \tau_i \to 0 \text{ a.s.} \quad \text{as } t \to \infty$$

in order to conclude that the second term converges weakly to 0. Since  $EZ_1^2 < \infty$  by assumption,  $Z_n^2/n \to 0$  a.s. by the Borel-Cantelli lemma; cf., Chung (1968) and apply Theorem 3.2.1, 4.2.1, and 4.2.2. This in turn implies that  $Z_n/n^{1/2} \to 0$  a.s.,  $\max_{1 \le k \le n} |Z_k|/n^{1/2} \to 0$  a.s., and  $\max_{1 \le k \le N(t)} |Z_k|/t^{1/2} \to 0$  a.s.

Now observe that

$$\begin{split} |t^{-\frac{3}{2}\sum\limits_{i=1}^{N(t)} Z_i \tau_i}| & \leq t^{-\frac{3}{2}\sum\limits_{i=1}^{N(t)} |Z_i \tau_i|} \\ & \leq (t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \max_{1 \leq k \leq N(t)} |Z_k|) \cdot (t^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} \tau_i). \end{split}$$

As shown above the first term on the r.h.s. above converges to 0 a.s., while the second term converges a.s. to 1 by the strong laws used above. For the third term on the r.h.s. of (2.4), we note that  $t^{1/2}(\alpha(t) - \alpha)^2 \Rightarrow \sigma_1^2 N(0,1)^2$  from (1.2). Thus it suffices to show that

(2.6) 
$$t^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} \tau_i^2 \to 0 \text{ a.s.}$$
 as  $t \to \infty$ .

Since  $E au_1<\infty$  by assumption, the same Borel-Cantelli argument used above shows that  $\max_{1\leq k\leq N(t)} au_k/t \to 0$  a.s.

Thus

$$t^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} \tau_i^2 \le \left( t^{-1} \max_{1 \le k \le N(t)} \tau_k \right) \cdot \left( t^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N(t)} \tau_k \right) \to 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

establishing (2.6). Using these results in conjunction with (2.4) shows that  $v(t) \Rightarrow \sigma_1^2$ .

There has been the claim in the simulation literature that Condition A implies strong consistency of v(t) and  $v_n$ ; cf. Bratley, Fox, and Schrage (1987), p. 118-119; Crane and Lemoine (1977), p. 42; Law and Kelton (1991), p. 559; and Shedler (1987), p. 29. No proof of this claim has been given, and we suspect that it is not true based on the argument required to prove Theorem 2.1. Certainly the claim is true under the added condition  $E\tau_1^2 < \infty$ , which may be what was intended in the references above.

The argument developed above also establishes the weak consistency of  $v_n$  for the general ratio estimation problem of the form  $\alpha = EY_1/E\tau_1$ . Here we assume that the pairs  $\{(Y_i, \tau_i) : i \ge 1\}$  are i.i.d., that  $E|\tau_1| < \infty$ , there exists a finite constant  $\alpha$  such that  $E[Y_1 - \alpha \tau_1] = 0$ , and  $E[(Y_1 - \alpha \tau_1)^2] < \infty$ . Confidence intervals for  $\alpha$  can now be constructed as was done in the equation (1.5).

#### 3. Conditions for Standardized Time Series Method

There are two principal approaches for estimating a steady-state parameter from a single simulation run. The RM is an example of the first approach in which weakly consistent estimation of the variance constant ( $\sigma_1^2$  or  $\sigma_2^2$  in (1.2) and (1.3)) is required. Other examples of this approach are the spectral and autoregressive methods. The second approach, proposed by Schruben (1983), are the standardized time series method (STSM). In this approach the variance constant is "canceled out" in a manner

reminiscent of the t-statistic. The popular batch means method is a special case of the STSM. The starting point for the STSM is the existence of a functional central limit theorem (f.c.l.t.); see Glynn and Iglehart (1990) for this development.

To discuss the f.c.l.t. we introduce the random functions

$$X_n(t) \equiv n^{-1} \int_0^{nt} f[X(s)] ds$$

for  $n \ge 1$  and  $t \ge 0$ , where  $X = (X(t): t \ge 0)$  is the regenerative process introduced in Section 1. Sample functions of  $X_n(\cdot)$  lie in the space  $C[0,\infty)$  of real-valued continuous functions on  $[0,\infty)$ . Necessary and sufficient conditions for a f.c.l.t. to hold for  $X_n$  were recently obtained by Glynn and Whitt (1991). To state this result we define the sequence of i.i.d. r.v.'s

$$W_n(f) = \sup_{0 \le s \le \tau_n} |\int_0^s f[X(T_{n-1} + u)du|, \qquad n \ge 1.$$

The result of Glynn and Whitt is

(3.1) **Theorem.** There exist finite-valued deterministic constants  $\alpha$  and  $\sigma$  such that  $Y_n \Rightarrow \sigma B$  in  $C[0,\infty)$  if and only if

$$(3.2) E[(Y_1(f-\alpha))^2] < \infty$$

and

$$(3.3) n^2 P[W_1(f-\alpha) > n] \to 0 n \to \infty,$$

where  $Y_n(t) = n^{\frac{1}{2}}[X_n(t) - \alpha t]$  and B is standard Brownian motion. In case conditions (3.2) and (3.3) hold,  $\alpha = EY_1(f)/E\tau_1$  and  $\sigma^2 = E[(Y_1(f-\alpha))^2]/E\tau_1$ .

Note that  $Y_1(f-\alpha)=Z_1$ . Observe that condition (3.3) is an additional condition needed for the f.c.l.t. that is not needed for the c.l.t. Here is an example of a regenerative process that satisfies the c.l.t. but not the f.c.l.t.

Let  $(U_n: n \ge 0)$  and  $(V_n: n \ge 0)$  be two sequences of real-valued r.v.'s satisfying the following conditions:

- (3.4)  $\{(U_n, V_n): n \ge 0\}$  is a sequence of independent pairs,
- (3.5)  $(U_n: n \ge 0)$  is an identically distributed sequence with  $EU_1 = 0$  and  $EU_1^2 \equiv \sigma^2 < \infty$ ; and
- (3.6)  $(V_n: n \ge 0)$  is an identically distributed sequence with  $E|V_1| < \infty$  and  $E|V_1|^{3/2} = \infty$ .

Now let T(0)=0 and T(n)=2n for  $n\geq 1$ ; all regenerative cycles are of length 2. For  $n\geq 0$ , set  $X_{2n}=U_n+V_n$ ,  $X_{2n+1}=-V_n$ , and f(x)=x. Finally, set  $X(t)=X_{\lfloor t\rfloor}$  for  $t\geq 0$ . Observe that  $X=(X(t):t\geq 0)$  is a regenerative process with  $\alpha=0$ ,  $Y_n=U_{n-1}$   $Z_n=U_{n-1}$  and  $W_n=\max\{|U_{n-1}+V_{n-1}|,\,|U_{n-1}+2V_{n-1}|\}$ . If we can exhibit  $U_0$  and  $V_0$  such that  $EW_1^{3/2}=\infty$ , then  $\sum_{n=0}^\infty n^{1/2}P(W_1>n)=\infty$  and (3.3) will be violated.

Note that  $EW_1^2 \ge E|U_0 + V_0|^2$ . Now take  $U_0$  and  $V_0$  independent with  $P\{U_0 = +1\} = P\{U_0 = -1\} = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $P\{V_0 = n^{1/2}\} = c/n^{3/2}$  for  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$  and a positive constant c. It is easy to check that (3.3) is not valid.

The example above shows that the regenerative c.l.t. can hold without the f.c.l.t. holding; this result is mentioned in Bratley, Fox, and Schrage (1987), p. 121. Thus the RM is valid for cases in which the f.l.c.t leading to the STSM is not. In this sense the RM has a larger domain of applicability than does the STSM.

Of course, it is also the case that the f.c.l.t (and hence the STSM) can hold for a non-regenerative stochastic process for which the RM is no longer valid. Hence, neither the class of stochastic processes for which the RM holds nor the class for which the STSM holds contains the other.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Billingsley, P. (1968). Convergence of Probability Measures. John Wiley, New York.
- [2] Bratley, P., B.L. Fox, and L.E. Schrage. (1987). A Guide to Simulation, Second Edition. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [3] Chung, K.S. (1960). Markov Chains with Stationary Transition Probabilities. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [4] Chung, K.L. (1968). A Course in Probability Theory. Harcourt, Brace & World, New York.
- [5] Crane, M.A. and A.J. Lemoine. (1977). An Introduction to the Regenerative Method for Simulation Analysis. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [6] Glynn, P.W., and D.L. Iglehart. (1990). Simulation output analysis using standardized time series. *Mathematics of Operations Research* 15, 1-16.
- [7] Glynn, P.W. and W. Whitt. (1991). Limit theorems for regenerative processes: necessary and sufficient conditions. Forthcoming.
- [8] Law, A.M. and W.D. Kelton. (1991). Simulation Modeling and Analysis. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- [9] Schruben, L. (1983). Confidence internal estimation using standardized time series. Operations Research 31, 1090-1108.
- [10] Shedler, G.S. (1987). Regeneration and Networks of Queues. Springer-Verlag, New York.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AN	O DATES COVERED
	September 1991	Technical	
TITLE AND SUBTITLE		100,111201	S. FUNDING NUMBERS
Conditions for the App	licability of the Re	generative	
Method			
AUTHOR(S)			
	·		
Peter W. Glynn a	and Donald L. Iglehar	t	
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAN	AE(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	نايه بنانا المالي المالي المالي	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION
Department of Operat	ions Research - 4022		REPORT NUMBER
Terman Engineering (			
Stanford University			
Stanford, CA 94305-	-4022		1
SPONSORING/MONITORING AGEN		)	10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
U. S. Army Research Of:	fice		
P. O. Box 12211	•		1
Research Triangle Park	, NC 27709-2211		1
. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			<u> </u>
The view, opinions and	or findings contain	ed in this repor	rt are those of the
author(s) and should no	ot be construed as a	n official Depar	rtment of the Army
author(s) and should no	ot be construed as a	n official Depar	rtment of the Army
author(s) and should no position, policy, or do	ot be construed as a ecision, unless so d	n official Depar	rtment of the Army
author(s) and should no position, policy, or do	ot be construed as a ecision, unless so d	n official Depar	rtment of the Army
author(s) and should no position, policy, or do la. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY ST.	ot be construed as a ecision, unless so de ATEMENT	n official Depar esignated by ot	rtment of the Army
author(s) and should no position, policy, or do	ot be construed as a ecision, unless so de ATEMENT	n official Depar esignated by ot	rtment of the Army
author(s) and should no position, policy, or do to the control of	ot be construed as a ecision, unless so de ATEMENT	n official Depar esignated by ot	rtment of the Army
author(s) and should no position, policy, or do to the description of the position of the policy of	ot be construed as a ecision, unless so de ATEMENT	n official Depar esignated by ot	rtment of the Army
author(s) and should no position, policy, or do to the description of the position of the policy of	ot be construed as a ecision, unless so de ATEMENT	n official Depar esignated by ot	rtment of the Army
author(s) and should no position, policy, or do no position, policy, or do not not not not not not not not not no	ot be construed as as ecision, unless so de ATEMENT release; distribution	n official Depar esignated by ot	rtment of the Army
author(s) and should no position, policy, or do to the description of the position of the policy of	ot be construed as as ecision, unless so de ATEMENT release; distribution	n official Depar esignated by ot	rtment of the Army
author(s) and should no position, policy, or do no position, policy, or do no position/AVAILABILITY ST.  Approved for public restauration (Maximum 200 words)	ot be construed as as ecision, unless so de ATEMENT release; distribution	n official Depar esignated by ot	rtment of the Army
author(s) and should no position, policy, or do no position, policy, or do no position/AVAILABILITY ST.  Approved for public restauration (Maximum 200 words)	ot be construed as as ecision, unless so de ATEMENT release; distribution	n official Depar esignated by ot	rtment of the Army
author(s) and should no position, policy, or do no position, policy, or do no position/AVAILABILITY ST.  Approved for public restauration (Maximum 200 words)	ot be construed as as ecision, unless so de ATEMENT release; distribution	n official Depar esignated by ot	rtment of the Army
author(s) and should no position, policy, or do no position, policy, or do no position/AVAILABILITY ST.  Approved for public restauration (Maximum 200 words)	ot be construed as as ecision, unless so de ATEMENT release; distribution	n official Depar esignated by ot	rtment of the Army
author(s) and should no position, policy, or do no position, policy, or do no position/AVAILABILITY ST.  Approved for public restauration (Maximum 200 words)	ot be construed as as ecision, unless so de ATEMENT release; distribution	n official Depar esignated by ot	rtment of the Army
author(s) and should no position, policy, or do no position, policy, or do no position / Availability ST.  Approved for public r	ot be construed as as ecision, unless so de ATEMENT release; distribution	n official Depar esignated by ot	rtment of the Army
author(s) and should no position, policy, or do no position, policy, or do no position/AVAILABILITY ST.  Approved for public restauration (Maximum 200 words)	ot be construed as as ecision, unless so de ATEMENT release; distribution	n official Depar esignated by ot	rtment of the Army
author(s) and should no position, policy, or do a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY ST.  Approved for public r	ot be construed as as ecision, unless so de ATEMENT release; distribution	n official Depar esignated by ot	rtment of the Army
author(s) and should no position, policy, or do no position, policy, or do no position / Availability ST.  Approved for public r	ot be construed as as ecision, unless so de ATEMENT release; distribution	n official Depar esignated by ot	rtment of the Army
author(s) and should no position, policy, or do no position, policy, or do no position / Availability ST.  Approved for public r	ot be construed as as ecision, unless so de ATEMENT release; distribution	n official Depar esignated by ot	rtment of the Army
author(s) and should no position, policy, or do no position, policy, or do no position / Availability ST.  Approved for public r	ot be construed as as ecision, unless so de ATEMENT release; distribution	n official Depar esignated by ot	rtment of the Army
author(s) and should no position, policy, or do no position, policy, or do no position/AVAILABILITY ST.  Approved for public restauration (Maximum 200 words)	ot be construed as as ecision, unless so de ATEMENT release; distribution	n official Depar esignated by ot	rtment of the Army
author(s) and should no position, policy, or do not not not not not not not not not no	ot be construed as as ecision, unless so de ATEMENT release; distribution	n official Depar esignated by ot	ner documentation.  12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
author(s) and should no position, policy, or do position, policy, or do position/AVAILABILITY ST.  Approved for public r.  Asstract (Maximum 200 words)  (please see no.	ecision, unless so de ATEMENT  release; distribution  next page)	n official Department of the signated by other order of the signature of t	TEMENT OF THE ARMY NOT GOODE  12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
author(s) and should no position, policy, or do no position, policy, or do not not not not not not not not not no	ecision, unless so de ATEMENT  release; distribution  next page)	esignated by other unlimited.	12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE  15. NUMBER OF PAGES nerative 8
Approved for public r  Approved for public r  (please see n  Consistent variance esprocesses, simulation, s	ecision, unless so de ATEMENT  release; distribution  next page)	esignated by other unlimited.	12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE  15. NUMBER OF PAGES nerative 8
Approved for public r  Approved for public r  Approved for public r  Asstract (Maximum 200 words)  (please see n  Consistent variance esprocesses, simulation, sestimation.	ecision, unless so de ATEMENT  release; distribution  next page)  stimators, regeneration at an article standardized time services and a standardized time services at a standardized time ser	ve method, regeries, steady-state	12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE  18. NUMBER OF PAGES nerative 8 16. PRICE CODE
Approved for public r  Approved for public r  3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)  (please see in  Consistent variance esprocesses, simulation, sestimation.	ecision, unless so de ATEMENT  release; distribution  next page)	ve method, regeries, steady-state	12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE  15. NUMBER OF PAGES  16. PRICE CODE
Approved for public r  Approved for public r  Approved for public r  Asstract (Maximum 200 words)  (please see n  Consistent variance esprocesses, simulation, sestimation.	ecision, unless so de ATEMENT  release; distribution  next page)  stimators, regeneration at an article standardized time services and a standardized time services at a standardized time ser	ve method, regeries, steady-state	13. NUMBER OF PAGES  16. PRICE CODE

#### Abstract.

The regenerative method for estimating steady-state parameters is one of the basic methods in the simulation literature. This method depends on central limit theorems for regenerative processes and weakly consistent estimates for the variance constants arising in the central limit theorem. A weak sufficient (and probably necessary) condition for both the central limit theorems and consistent estimates is given. Several references have claimed (without proof) strong consistency of the variance estimates under our condition. This claim seems to us unjustified and we hope this note helps clarify the situation. Also discussed is the relationship between conditions for the validity of the regenerative method and those for the validity of the standardized time series methods.